KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION
ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

Week-1: Emergency LSCS – Consent & Documentation

1. REAL LIFE CLINICAL SCENARIO

A 26-year-old primigravida at term presented in active labor with non-reassuring fetal heart rate patterns suggestive of acute fetal distress. The decision for emergency LSCS was taken at midnight. Due to urgency, surgery was initiated immediately. The neonate required resuscitation and NICU admission. Later, the relatives alleged delay, lack of proper consent, and negligence.

2. MEDICOLEGAL RISKS IN SUCH CASES

Emergency LSCS cases commonly attract litigation not because of surgical error, but due to communication gaps and documentation deficiencies. Common allegations include delay in decision-to-delivery, absence of valid consent, lack of senior involvement, and poor records.

3. WHAT THE LAW EXPECTS

In life-threatening emergencies, the primary duty of the doctor is to save life. Indian courts recognize implied consent when immediate intervention is required and delay can worsen maternal or fetal outcome. Documentation must reflect urgency, clinical reasoning, and communication with relatives.

4. DOCUMENTATION – THE DOCTOR'S STRONGEST DEFENSE

Proper documentation should clearly mention:

- Emergency nature of the case
- Indication for LSCS
- Time of diagnosis, decision, and incision
- Counseling of relatives
- Senior consultation, if any

Courts rely more on contemporaneous written records than on oral explanations given years later.

5. PRACTICAL SAFE PRACTICE – WHAT TO DO

- Write "Emergency LSCS – life-threatening situation" clearly in case records
- Do not delay surgery for consent formalities
- Obtain relative signature if immediately available
- Document senior opinion whenever sought
- Record post-operative counseling and neonatal outcome

6. COMMON MISTAKES TO AVOID

- Back-dated or altered notes
- Generic or blank consent forms
- No documentation of urgency
- Absence of post-operative counseling notes

7.CLINICAL–LEGAL PEARL

"In emergencies, timing saves lives — documentation saves doctors."

8. REAL COURT CASE INSIGHTS (FOR UNDERSTANDING)

- In Jacob Mathew vs State of Punjab (Supreme Court, 2005), the Court held that an adverse outcome or error of judgment does not amount to negligence if the doctor acted according to accepted medical practice.
- In Samira Kohli vs Dr Prabha Manchanda (Supreme Court, 2008), the Court clarified that while informed consent is essential, in emergencies necessary procedures to save life can be undertaken without separate detailed consent.

9.TAKE-HOME MESSAGE

Emergency LSCS cases are judged by decision-making and documentation, not by outcome alone. Timely intervention saves lives; honest, contemporaneous records protect the doctor.

Next Week's Topic: Blanket Consent – Why Courts Reject It.

KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

KSOGA OFFICE BEARERS

Dr. M.G. Hiremath
Chief Patron

Dr. Nagaraj. H
Patron

Dr. Rajshree Paladi
President

Dr. Durgadas Asranna
Past President

Dr. Shobha Gudi
General Secretary

Dr. Shobha Bembalgi
Hon. Treasurer

ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

Dr. Umaa Deshmukh
Chairperson

Dr. Hemlatha Patil
Secretary

Dr. Vinayak Jante
Convenor

Dr. Rameshwari Malshetty
Member

Dr. Savitha Badiger
Member

Dr. Veena Bhat
Member

Dr. Renuka M Dollin
Member

Dr. Shanta sharan
Member

Dr. Puneetha VS
Member

Dr. Archana Kamakeri
Member

Dr. Shailja Bidari
Member

Dr. Sangeeta Halmandge
Member

Dr. Hema Simhasane
Member

Dr. Vidya Thobbi
Advisor

Dr. Rekha Rajendra Kumar
Advisor

KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION

ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

Week-2: Blanket Consent – Why Courts Reject It

1. REAL LIFE CLINICAL SCENARIO

A 32-year-old woman planned for laparoscopic hysterectomy for fibroid uterus. A general consent stating "any necessary procedure" was taken at admission. During surgery, due to dense adhesions, the procedure was converted to open hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy. Postoperatively, the patient alleged that she was not informed about removal of ovaries and that she would not have consented if properly counseled. A case was filed alleging unauthorized procedure.

2. MEDICOLEGAL RISKS IN SUCH CASES

Blanket or generalised consent exposes doctor to serious legal risk
Common allegations include:

- Procedure performed beyond consent
- Loss of reproductive or hormonal function without permission
- Lack of proper explanation before surgery
- Emotional and social consequences leading to higher compensation claims

These cases are often decided based on documentation rather than surgical skill.

3. WHAT THE LAW EXPECTS

Valid consent must be procedure-specific, informed, and voluntary. A general or blanket consent has limited legal value. The doctor is expected to explain the nature of the procedure, possible extensions, alternatives, and risks. Additional procedures cannot be performed without consent unless there is a clear, immediate threat to life where delay would be harmful.

4. DOCUMENTATION – THE DOCTOR'S STRONGEST DEFENSE

Consent documentation should clearly include:

- Exact name of the procedure
- Possibility of conversion to open surgery
- Possibility of additional procedures such as oophorectomy
- Risks and complications explained
- Confirmation that patient and relatives understood the explanation

Clear, specific documentation significantly reduces medicolegal risk.

5. PRACTICAL SAFE PRACTICE – WHAT TO DO

- Always take procedure-specific consent
- Clearly mention possible intraoperative changes
- Use simple and understandable language
- Ensure the patient or relative has genuinely understood
- Document that explanation was given and understood
- In elective cases, provide adequate time for decision making

6. COMMON MISTAKES TO AVOID

- Relying only on blanket consent
- Not mentioning conversion or additional procedures
- Taking signatures without proper explanation
- Using copied or generic consent formats
- Absence of documented counselling

7.CLINICAL–LEGAL PEARL

Consent is not a form. It is a process of communication that must be reflected in records.

8. REAL COURT CASE INSIGHTS (FOR UNDERSTANDING)

In Samira Kohli vs Dr Prabha Manchanda (Supreme Court, 2008), the doctor performed hysterectomy with removal of ovaries when consent was only for a diagnostic procedure.

The Court held that performing an additional procedure without explicit consent amounts to deficiency in service.

The judgment clearly established that consent for one procedure does not imply consent for another, except in life-threatening emergencies where immediate action is required

9.TAKE-HOME MESSAGE

Blanket consent does not provide legal protection. Safe practice requires clear explanation, procedure-specific consent, and proper documentation.
If a procedure is not explained, it is not considered consented.

Next Week's Topic: Refusal of Treatment – When Patient Says No, What Protects You?

KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

KSOGA OFFICE BEARERS

Dr. M.G. Hiremath
Chief Patron

Dr. Nagaraj. H
Patron

Dr. Rajshree Paladi
President

Dr. Durgadas Asranna
Past President

Dr. Shobha Gudi
General Secretary

Dr. Shobha Bembalgi
Hon. Treasurer

ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

Dr. Umaa Deshmukh
Chairperson

Dr. Hemlatha Patil
Secretary

Dr. Vinayak Jante
Convenor

Dr. Rameshwari Malshetty
Member

Dr. Savitha Badiger
Member

Dr. Veena Bhat
Member

Dr. Renuka M Dollin
Member

Dr. Shanta sharan
Member

Dr. Puneetha VS
Member

Dr. Archana Kamakeri
Member

Dr. Shailja Bidari
Member

Dr. Sangeeta Halmandge
Member

Dr. Hema Simhasane
Member

Dr. Vidya Thobbi
Advisor

Dr. Rekha Rajendra Kumar
Advisor

KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION

ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

Week-3;Refusal of Treatment – When Patient Says No, What Protects You

1. REAL LIFE CLINICAL SCENARIO

A 28-year-old multigravida at 38 weeks presented with severe preeclampsia and uncontrolled blood pressure. The obstetrician advised immediate admission and delivery. However, the patient and relatives refused admission and insisted on going home due to personal reasons. The patient left against medical advice and later returned with eclampsia and intrauterine fetal demise. Relatives alleged negligence and failure to manage properly.

2. MEDICOLEGAL RISKS IN SUCH CASES

Refusal of treatment creates significant medicolegal risk.

Common allegations include:

- Doctor did not explain seriousness adequately
- Patient was allowed to leave without proper warning
- No documentation of refusal
- Delay in treatment attributed to doctor

In many cases, absence of proper records shifts liability back to the doctor.

3. WHAT THE LAW EXPECTS

A competent adult patient has the right to refuse treatment, even if the decision is medically unwise. However, the doctor must ensure that the refusal is informed. This means explaining the diagnosis, risks of refusal, possible complications, and alternatives. The refusal must be voluntary and documented.

4. DOCUMENTATION – THE DOCTOR'S STRONGEST DEFENSE

Proper documentation should include:

- Diagnosis and current condition explained
- Risks of refusing treatment clearly mentioned
- Advice for admission or intervention recorded
- Patient or relative's refusal noted with signature
- Witness signature, preferably staff member

Clear documentation proves that the doctor fulfilled duty of care.

5. PRACTICAL SAFE PRACTICE – WHAT TO DO

- Explain condition and risks in simple language
- Clearly mention possible complications including death
- Take written refusal or LAMA form
- Ensure patient or relative signs with date and time
- Add witness signature whenever possible
- Advise to return immediately if symptoms worsen

6. COMMON MISTAKES TO AVOID

- Allowing patient to leave without documentation
- Writing only "LAMA" without details
- Not explaining severity properly
- No witness for refusal
- Casual or incomplete notes

These mistakes often lead to legal complications later.

7.CLINICAL–LEGAL PEARL

A patient's right to refuse treatment does not remove the doctor's responsibility to inform and document.

8. REAL COURT CASE INSIGHTS (FOR UNDERSTANDING)

In multiple consumer court rulings across India, doctors have been held liable when patients left against medical advice but documentation did not clearly show that risks were explained. Courts have emphasized that mere mention of "LAMA" is insufficient without detailed explanation.

Judicial observations consistently highlight that proper documentation of refusal protects the doctor, while absence of records creates doubt about whether adequate counseling was done.

9.TAKE-HOME MESSAGE

When a patient refuses treatment, the doctor must shift focus from treatment to documentation. Clear explanation and written refusal are essential. If it is not documented, it is assumed not explained.

Next Week's Topic: Documentation in Obstetrics – What Courts Look For.

KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

KSOGA OFFICE BEARERS

Dr. M.G. Hiremath
Chief Patron

Dr. Nagaraj. H
Patron

Dr. Rajshree Paladi
President

Dr. Durgadas Asranna
Past President

Dr. Shobha Gudi
General Secretary

Dr. Shobha Bembalgi
Hon. Treasurer

ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

Dr. Umaa Deshmukh
Chairperson

Dr. Hemlatha Patil
Secretary

Dr. Vinayak Jante
Convenor

Dr. Rameshwari Malshetty
Member

Dr. Savitha Badiger
Member

Dr. Veena Bhat
Member

Dr. Renuka M Dollin
Member

Dr. Shanta sharan
Member

Dr. Puneetha VS
Member

Dr. Archana Kamakeri
Member

Dr. Shailja Bidari
Member

Dr. Sangeeta Halmandge
Member

Dr. Hema Simhasane
Member

Dr. Vidya Thobbi
Advisor

Dr. Rekha Rajendra Kumar
Advisor

KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION

ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

Week-4;Documentation in Obstetrics – What Courts Actually Look For

1. REAL LIFE CLINICAL SCENARIO

A 24-year-old primigravida underwent normal vaginal delivery. Postpartum, the patient developed postpartum hemorrhage which was managed with uterotonics and transfusion. The patient recovered. However, relatives later alleged negligence claiming delay in management. On review, the case sheet had minimal entries, no time stamps, and no clear sequence of events documented.

2. MEDICOLEGAL RISKS IN SUCH CASES

Inadequate documentation is one of the most common reasons doctors lose cases.
Common risks include:

- Inability to prove timely management
- Doubt about sequence of clinical events
- Allegations of delay or inaction
- Loss of credibility in court

Even correct treatment may appear negligent if records are incomplete.

3. WHAT THE LAW EXPECTS

Courts expect clear, contemporaneous, and consistent medical records. Documentation should reflect what was done, when it was done, and why it was done. Records should be legible, complete, and free from alterations.
The medical record is treated as primary evidence in legal proceedings.

4. DOCUMENTATION – THE DOCTOR'S STRONGEST DEFENSE

Proper documentation should include:

- Time-stamped entries for all major events
- Vital signs and monitoring details
- Diagnosis and clinical findings
- Treatment given with dose and timing
- Response to treatment
- Communication with patient and relatives

Complete records establish a clear clinical timeline.

5. PRACTICAL SAFE PRACTICE – WHAT TO DO

- Write notes in real time or as soon as possible
- Always include date and exact time
- Record all interventions with details
- Document senior consultation and advice
- Maintain legible handwriting or typed notes
- Ensure consistency across all records

6. COMMON MISTAKES TO AVOID

- Writing notes at end of shift from memory
- Missing time entries
- Illegible handwriting
- Overwriting or correction without signature
- Copy-paste or generic notes

Such errors weaken the doctor's defense significantly.

7.CLINICAL–LEGAL PEARL

Medical records are not just for continuity of care. They are the doctor's strongest legal protection.

8. REAL COURT CASE INSIGHTS (FOR UNDERSTANDING)

In multiple consumer court cases, doctors have been held liable primarily due to poor documentation rather than wrong treatment. Courts have repeatedly stated that absence of proper records raises presumption of negligence.

Judicial observations emphasize that well-maintained records reflect proper care, while incomplete records create doubt about the quality of treatment provided.

9.TAKE-HOME MESSAGE

In obstetrics, documentation is as important as treatment. Accurate, timely, and complete records protect the doctor and ensure better patient care.
If it is not written, it is assumed not done.

Next Week's Topic: Hysterectomy – When It Becomes a Legal Case.

KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

KSOGA OFFICE BEARERS

Dr. M.G. Hiremath
Chief Patron

Dr. Nagaraj. H
Patron

Dr. Rajshree Paladi
President

Dr. Durgadas Asranna
Past President

Dr. Shobha Gudi
General Secretary

Dr. Shobha Bembalgi
Hon. Treasurer

ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

Dr. Umaa Deshmukh
Chairperson

Dr. Hemlatha Patil
Secretary

Dr. Vinayak Jante
Convenor

Dr. Rameshwari Malshetty
Member

Dr. Savitha Badiger
Member

Dr. Veena Bhat
Member

Dr. Renuka M Dollin
Member

Dr. Shanta sharan
Member

Dr. Puneetha VS
Member

Dr. Archana Kamakeri
Member

Dr. Shailja Bidari
Member

Dr. Sangeeta Halmandge
Member

Dr. Hema Simhasane
Member

Dr. Vidya Thobbi
Advisor

Dr. Rekha Rajendra Kumar
Advisor

KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION

ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

Week-5 ;Hysterectomy – When It Becomes a Legal Case

1. REAL LIFE CLINICAL SCENARIO

A 38-year-old woman with heavy menstrual bleeding was advised hysterectomy at a private hospital. She underwent total abdominal hysterectomy. Postoperatively, the patient questioned the necessity of surgery, stating that she was not offered medical management options. She also claimed she was not informed about removal of ovaries. A legal case was filed alleging unnecessary surgery and lack of informed consent.

2. MEDICOLEGAL RISKS IN SUCH CASES

Hysterectomy-related litigations commonly arise due to:

- Allegation of unnecessary surgery
- Lack of documented indication
- Failure to offer conservative treatment options
- Removal of ovaries without explicit consent
- Inadequate counseling about consequences

Such cases are scrutinized strictly as they are elective procedures.

3. WHAT THE LAW EXPECTS

In elective gynecological surgeries, courts expect clear indication, proper evaluation, and informed consent. The doctor must demonstrate that hysterectomy was medically justified and that alternative treatments were discussed. Consent must specifically mention the procedure and any additional steps such as oophorectomy.

4. DOCUMENTATION – THE DOCTOR'S STRONGEST DEFENSE

Proper documentation should include:

- Diagnosis with supporting findings
- Indication for hysterectomy
- Alternative treatment options discussed
- Patient's decision after counseling
- Specific consent mentioning procedure and extent of surgery
- Preoperative and postoperative counseling notes

Clear documentation establishes that the decision was appropriate and informed.

5. PRACTICAL SAFE PRACTICE – WHAT TO DO

- Always document indication clearly
- Discuss and record alternative treatments
- Take detailed, procedure-specific consent
- Clearly mention if ovaries may be removed
- Use understandable language for counseling
- Allow time for patient to decide in elective cases

6. COMMON MISTAKES TO AVOID

- Performing hysterectomy without strong indication
- No documentation of failed medical management
- Generic consent forms
- Not discussing fertility or hormonal impact
- Poor or absent counseling records

These errors significantly increase medicolegal risk.

7.CLINICAL–LEGAL PEARL

In elective surgery, the strength of indication and quality of consent determine legal safety.

8. REAL COURT CASE INSIGHTS (FOR UNDERSTANDING)

Courts in India have repeatedly held doctors liable in cases where hysterectomy was performed without clear indication or without proper informed consent. In several consumer court decisions, lack of documentation of alternative treatments and inadequate consent have resulted in compensation to patients.

Judicial reasoning emphasizes that elective procedures require higher standards of explanation and documentation compared to emergency situations.

9.TAKE-HOME MESSAGE

Hysterectomy is not just a surgical procedure but a major life decision for the patient. Proper indication, clear counseling, and detailed documentation are essential to ensure both good clinical outcome and legal safety.

Next Week's Topic: Laparoscopy Complications – When Complication Becomes Negligence.

KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

KSOGA OFFICE BEARERS

Dr. M.G. Hiremath
Chief Patron

Dr. Nagaraj. H
Patron

Dr. Rajshree Paladi
President

Dr. Durgadas Asranna
Past President

Dr. Shobha Gudi
General Secretary

Dr. Shobha Bembalgi
Hon. Treasurer

ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

Dr. Umaa Deshmukh
Chairperson

Dr. Hemlatha Patil
Secretary

Dr. Vinayak Jante
Convenor

Dr. Rameshwari Malshetty
Member

Dr. Savitha Badiger
Member

Dr. Veena Bhat
Member

Dr. Renuka M Dollin
Member

Dr. Shanta sharan
Member

Dr. Puneetha VS
Member

Dr. Archana Kamakeri
Member

Dr. Shailja Bidari
Member

Dr. Sangeeta Halmandge
Member

Dr. Hema Simhasane
Member

Dr. Vidya Thobbi
Advisor

Dr. Rekha Rajendra Kumar
Advisor

KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION

ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

Week-6;Laparoscopy Complications – When Complication Becomes Negligence

1. REAL LIFE CLINICAL SCENARIO

A 34-year-old woman underwent laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy for a benign ovarian cyst at a private hospital. Surgery was described as uneventful, and she was discharged the next day. Forty-eight hours later, she returned with severe abdominal pain, vomiting, fever, abdominal distension, and tachycardia.Evaluation revealed bowel injury with peritonitis requiring emergency laparotomy, ICU admission ,prolonged hospitalization, and multiple interventions. The relatives alleged negligence, delayed diagnosis, poor postoperative monitoring, and failure to identify the complication in time.

2. MEDICOLEGAL RISKS IN SUCH CASES

Laparoscopic surgery is widely accepted as safe and standard practice. However, complications become medicolegal issues when recognition, communication, or response is delayed.
Common allegations include:

Bowel injury during entry or dissection
Ureteric injury missed intraoperatively
Bladder injury
Delayed recognition of postoperative deterioration
Failure to refer in time
Poor operative documentation
Inadequate informed consent regarding known complications
Inadequate discharge instructions

The complication itself may be defensible. Delay in response often is not.

3. WHAT THE LAW EXPECTS

Courts understand that no surgery is risk-free.
Known complications of laparoscopy include:
>Bowel injury
>Bladder injury
>Vascular injury
>Ureteric injury
>Thermal injury
>Anesthetic complications
A complication alone does not mean negligence.

However, the law expects:
>Accepted surgical standard of care
>Proper informed consent
>Reasonable intraoperative judgment
>Early recognition of warning signs
>Prompt investigation and intervention
>Timely referral when required
>Honest communication with patient and relatives
>Complete operative documentation

Negligence is often judged not by what happened, but by how the doctor responded after it happened

4. DOCUMENTATION – THE DOCTOR'S STRONGEST DEFENSE

Operative documentation should clearly mention:

- Indication for surgery
- Preoperative diagnosis
- Consent taken for laparoscopy and possible conversion
- Entry technique used (Veress / open / direct trocar)
- Intraoperative findings
- Adhesions or difficult anatomy
- Surgical steps performed
- Hemostasis confirmation
- Instrument count
- Patient condition at closure

Postoperative documentation should include:

- Pain severity
- Vital signs
- Abdominal findings
- Urine output
- Oral intake tolerance
- Patient complaints
- Reassessment timings
- Action taken if deterioration occurs

Discharge note should include red flags:

- Fever
- Persistent vomiting
- Abdominal distension
- Inability to pass urine
- Severe pain

This documentation becomes crucial in court.

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

5. PRACTICAL SAFE PRACTICE – WHAT TO DO

- Explain known laparoscopic risks during consent
- Mention possibility of conversion to laparotomy
- Document difficult entry or adhesions honestly
- Never dismiss disproportionate postoperative pain
- Personally reassess symptomatic patients
- Investigate early if bowel or ureteric injury is suspected
- Refer early if ICU / higher surgical backup is needed
- Communicate transparently with relatives
- Good surgery includes good postoperative vigilance.

6. COMMON MISTAKES TO AVOID

- Assuming "routine laparoscopy" means low risk
- Ignoring tachycardia after surgery
- Delaying imaging
- Sending patient home too early
- Weak discharge instructions
- Incomplete operative notes
- Delayed referral
- Attempting concealment
- Courts are often harsher about concealment than complication.

7.CLINICAL–LEGAL PEARL

A complication may be unavoidable. Delay in recognizing it is often what becomes negligence.

8. REAL COURT CASE INSIGHTS (FOR UNDERSTANDING)

Indian consumer courts have repeatedly acknowledged that bowel and ureteric injuries are recognized complications of laparoscopic surgery.

Doctors are usually protected when:

1.Proper consent exists

2.Complication is recognized reasonably early

3.Appropriate intervention is taken

4.Documentation is complete

Courts become critical when:

1.Warning signs are ignored

2.Postoperative deterioration is dismissed

3.Referral is delayed

4.Records are incomplete

The court question is rarely: "Why did the complication happen?"

It is often: "Why was action delayed after warning signs appeared?"

9.TAKE-HOME MESSAGE

Laparoscopic complications do not automatically mean negligence.
Delayed recognition, poor communication, weak documentation, and delayed escalation are what create medicolegal vulnerability.
Safe laparoscopy is not just about surgical skill.
It is also about judgment after surgery

· ***Next Week's Topic: Delay in Referral – When It Becomes Negligence.***

KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

KSOGA OFFICE BEARERS

Dr. M.G. Hiremath
Chief Patron

Dr. Nagaraj. H
Patron

Dr. Rajshree Paladi
President

Dr. Durgadas Asranna
Past President

Dr. Shobha Gudi
General Secretary

Dr. Shobha Bembalgi
Hon. Treasurer

ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

Dr. Umaa Deshmukh
Chairperson

Dr. Hemlatha Patil
Secretary

Dr. Vinayak Jante
Convenor

Dr. Rameshwari Malshetty
Member

Dr. Savitha Badiger
Member

Dr. Veena Bhat
Member

Dr. Renuka M Dollin
Member

Dr. Shanta sharan
Member

Dr. Puneetha VS
Member

Dr. Archana Kamakeri
Member

Dr. Shailja Bidari
Member

Dr. Sangeeta Halmandge
Member

Dr. Hema Simhasane
Member

Dr. Vidya Thobbi
Advisor

Dr. Rekha Rajendra Kumar
Advisor

KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION

ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

Week-7;Delay in Referral – When It Becomes Negligence

1. REAL LIFE CLINICAL SCENARIO

A 27-year-old multiparous woman presented to a peripheral maternity center with severe antepartum hemorrhage at 35 weeks. She was hypotensive, tachycardic, and clinically unstable. Initial fluids were started, but blood products were unavailable, anesthetic backup was limited, and NICU support was absent. Instead of immediate transfer, repeated attempts were made to "stabilize" her locally while precious hours passed. She was eventually referred late to a tertiary center in hemorrhagic shock, requiring emergency cesarean section, massive transfusion, ICU admission, and prolonged maternal recovery. The relatives alleged that the doctor delayed referral despite knowing the facility limitations.

2. MEDICOLEGAL RISKS IN SUCH CASES

Delay in referral is one of the most common and preventable medicolegal hazards in obstetrics and gynecology, particularly in peripheral practice.

Common allegations include;

- Failure to recognize severity early
- Delayed decision to refer
- Attempting management beyond available infrastructure
- Waiting too long for blood, specialists, or spontaneous improvement
- Sending unstable patient without adequate stabilization
- Inadequate communication with patient relatives
- No referral documentation
- No communication with receiving center

A doctor is not expected to provide tertiary care everywhere.
But failure to recognize when escalation is needed becomes dangerous..

3. WHAT THE LAW EXPECTS

Courts understand that infrastructure limitations exist.
A peripheral center is not expected to function like a tertiary institute.
However, courts expect:

- Honest recognition of facility limitations
- Appropriate emergency stabilization
- Timely decision-making
- Referral when required without unreasonable delay
- Proper counseling of relatives
- Clear referral documentation
- Safe transport planning whenever possible

The law does not punish referral.
It examines delay in referral.
Continuing beyond your practical capacity may be viewed a s negligent.

4. DOCUMENTATION – THE DOCTOR'S STRONGEST DEFENSE

Documentation should clearly mention:

- Diagnosis / suspected diagnosis
- Clinical severity
- Vital parameters
- Treatment already initiated
- Time referral decision was made
- Why referral was necessary
- Limitations of current facility
- Counseling given to relatives
- Whether ambulance was arranged
- Whether receiving center was informed
- Patient condition at transfer

Example documentation:

"Severe APH with hemodynamic instability. Blood products unavailable locally. Higher obstetric, anesthetic, and ICU support required urgently. Relatives counseled regarding critical condition and need for immediate transfer."

This kind of note becomes powerful medicoleg al protection.

5. PRACTICAL SAFE PRACTICE – WHAT TO DO

Identify red flags early

- Accept limitations realistically
- Stabilize ABC before transfer
- Start emergency treatment immediately
- Do not delay waiting for ideal improvement
- Counsel relatives clearly and honestly
- Send structured referral note
- Communicate with receiving center if possible
- Document exact timings

Referral is not weakness.

Delayed escaation is.

6. COMMON MISTAKES TO AVOID

- Trying to "manage somehow" despite poor resources
- Fear of reputation loss causing delay
- Waiting for prolonged improvement before referral
- Sending unstable patient without resuscitation
- No written referral summary
- Poor handover communication
- No documentation of counseling
- Many cases are lost because doctors delayed escalation, not because they referred.

7.CLINICAL–LEGAL PEARL

A good doctor knows not only what to treat—but when to escalate.

8. REAL COURT CASE INSIGHTS (FOR UNDERSTANDING)

Indian consumer courts have repeatedly emphasized that recognizing limitations and referring appropriately is part of standard medical care.

Doctors are usually protected when:

- Deterioration is recognized early
- Limitations are documented honestly
- Emergency care is initiated
- Referral happens without unreasonable delay

Courts become critical when:

- Obvious deterioration is ignored
- Referral happens only after collapse
- Documentation is weak
- Critical time is lost without explanation

The legal question is often:

"Why did you continue here when higher care was clearly needed?"

9.TAKE-HOME MESSAGE

Delay in referral is one of the most preventable causes of medicolegal exposure in OBG practice.
Timely recognition, emergency stabilization, honest counseling, and documented escalation protect both patient and doctor.
A timely referral reflects sound judgment.
A delayed referral may be interpreted as negligence.

Next Week's Topic: Missed Ectopic Pregnancy – One of the Most Dangerous OPD Medicolegal Traps.

KARNATAKA STATE OBSTETRICS AND GYNECOLOGY ASSOCIATION

MEDICOLEGAL BULLETIN

KSOGA OFFICE BEARERS

Dr. M.G. Hiremath
Chief Patron

Dr. Nagaraj. H
Patron

Dr. Rajshree Paladi
President

Dr. Durgadas Asranna
Past President

Dr. Shobha Gudi
General Secretary

Dr. Shobha Bembalgi
Hon. Treasurer

ETHICS AND MEDICOLEGAL COMMITTEE

Dr. Umaa Deshmukh
Chairperson

Dr. Hemlatha Patil
Secretary

Dr. Vinayak Jante
Convenor

Dr. Rameshwari Malshetty
Member

Dr. Savitha Badiger
Member

Dr. Veena Bhat
Member

Dr. Renuka M Dollin
Member

Dr. Shanta sharan
Member

Dr. Puneetha VS
Member

Dr. Archana Kamakeri
Member

Dr. Shailja Bidari
Member

Dr. Sangeeta Halmandge
Member

Dr. Hema Simhasane
Member

Dr. Vidya Thobbi
Advisor

Dr. Rekha Rajendra Kumar
Advisor